PunterNet UK

Review of Jordan of Manchester

Review No. 112826 - Published 18 Mar 2013

Details of Visit:

Author: kundalini Type of Visit: Incall

Date and Time of Visit: Sun 17 Mar 2013 9pm

Duration of Visit: 60 mins

Amount Paid: 120 Recommended: No

Details of Service Provider:

Company: Manchester Escorts

Website: http://www.manchesterescorts.co.uk/

Phone: 07542146969

The Premises:

Nice apartment. Decent sized bedroom

The Lady:

Slim size 6. Height 5'3. Pretty face. Beautiful natural breasts. Shaved pussy. Cute bum. No tattoos or piercings.

The Story:

What on earth is Jordan doing escorting when even gentle thrusting by a not particularly hard, average size cock in her preferred missionary position (no other positions on offer as she's too tight), causes her pain, despite having had several orgasms and indeed squirted, prior to penetration?

What on earth are the agency doing advertising an escort who it is just about impossible to fuck without hurting her? Why aren't clients informed in advance that intercourse is unlikely to be on offer regardless of the size of their weapon, and if it is, then it will only be gentle sex in missionary, and even then she is likely to complain that they are hurting her due to her very tight pussy and the small piece of skin that is apparently causing her problems?

What on earth does Jordan think she's doing attempting to end a 60 mins booking with almost 20 mins left because another escort supposedly needs to use the apartment (my appointment started on time)?

Despite her enjoys list mentioning owo, deep throat, CIM and swallow, the reality was covered oral only, sucked 3 inches max. French kissing was ok. She enjoyed using the high powered massagers, having several orgasms and indeed squirting. She deployed a wide range of intercourse avoidance stunts.

Seemed like a lovely young woman but her approach to being a professional sex worker is a disgrace. How about letting prospective clients know what is really on offer before they hand over the cash?

Not remotely impressed by the agency's part in this fiasco, or their response afterwards. I won't be using them again.