

# PunterNet UK

## Review of Gemma of Central London

**Review No. 80426 - Published 21 Feb 2008**

### Details of Visit:

**Author:** graubart

**Location 2:** Chelsea

**Type of Visit:** Incall

**Date and Time of Visit:** Tue 4 Dec 2007 pm

**Duration of Visit:** 1hr

**Amount Paid:** 180

**Recommended:** No

### Details of Service Provider:

**Profile Name:** Agency Touch Of Class

**Website:** <http://www.agencytouchofclasslondon.co.uk/>

**Phone:** 07796560773

### The Premises:

Studio flat in a well-known Chelsea demi-monde building with large reception area, short walk from South Kensington tube. Long winding corridors. Bell push broken. Flat fairly clean and inviting, giving a reasonable sense of security. Adequate bathroom, with good hot hand shower, at reasonable pressure.

### The Lady:

As in her pictures, a slim dark girl with lots of dark hair (rather frizzy), nice long legs, and pleasing smile.

### The Story:

Session divided into four parts. Part One: Rather stodgy initial conversation, then a little stand up kissing, followed by an order to go and shower. Part Two: Invited to a cuddle on the bed, soon found myself on the receiving end of an oral attack so vigorous that CIM was unavoidable (total elapsed time so far, maybe 10 minutes since shower). Part Three: I noticed at this point that Gemma, having washed her mouth out, was still fully dressed, as indeed she remained. Part Four: conversation then moved rapidly from monosyllabic Latvian to fluent discussion of aphrodisiac subjects like where did I live, and did I have children; a real turn on. Decided to cut losses, and return to Real World, by way of the excellent hot shower.

Should I recommend? Hard to say. The pictures do not lie, and she certainly gets you going. But I don't really think I can recommend a girl that I have never seen with her clothes off. Can neither confirm nor refute most comments by previous visitors.

### Service Provider's Rebuttal

Gemma writes,

I was on holiday out of the country from the end of November until the middle of December so this

person could not have seen me on the 4th December 2007. It is also strange that they are writing this on February 21st - almost 3 months after their "visit".