

PunterNet UK

Review of Mistress Roxie of Nottingham

Review No. 100188 - Published 4 Oct 2010

Details of Visit:

Author: anthony m

Type of Visit: Incall

Date and Time of Visit: Wed 29 Sep 2010 1800

Duration of Visit: 1hr

Amount Paid: 150

Recommended: Yes

Details of Service Provider:

Website: <http://www.mistressroxie.co.uk>

Phone: 07747673780

The Premises:

End terraced house, a little difficult to find without a satnav.

Left hanging around outside a side gate until she opens it for you. The lady likes you to phone when your outside her premises. Houses across the street look on to the entrance which can be nerving for a slightly visitor.

The Lady:

Her photos are sexy on her website, and she is as she says, very attractive with a medium figure.

The Story:

I saw Mistress Roxie previously but didn't enjoy the session as well as I expected due to a few reasons. I looked at her website again recently and thought I would try again but put forward my interests in more description as to give her more knowledge about me (thinking last time was a communication problem). I called and was granted a visit and gave a brief description of my interests. Upon entering the house, I think she had just finished work as she had a modest skirt and blouse on wearing some ugg boots. We went upstairs to the very small, cramped dungeon for a discussion of the session. Here I pointed out a few things that I would definitely like and Mistress Roxie seemed understanding (as she says in her website she likes information to enhance the slaves experience, her 'shopping list') She then told me how to prepare for her when she returned. On her website she comments about 'fake slaves' etc, well I can understand that she doesn't like being told what to do in session but as a Mistress, to ignore what someones kinks are after they have taken time to entrust you as their Mistress is plain ignorance not domination. She returned in the same clothing only wearing a pair of sandals. I spent the entire session tied up to one of the two pieces of apparatus in the attic room whilst she popped in and out of the room (I think she was cooking dinner). When she was in her 'dungeon' she spent most of the time sitting down drinking a pint of squash, saying 'well, well, well. It was pathetic. The session then finished by me giving her an estimated twenty minute foot massage. As she says in her website, she expects servitude and doesn't like being dictated to. Rightly so that is why she is a dominatrix. That is why I visited for domination, boot worship, CBT, electrics, forced masturbation in front of Mistress, humiliation. All I received from my simple request was domination without any of my kinks. On her website she states she caters for what I asked, but not on my visit before and not on my most recent. It was a shame because the other reporters rate her highly and enjoy their visits. Each to their own, perhaps Penfold 2010 likes this kind of treatment. The warning is in the literature on her website if you look

closeley.

Service Provider's Rebuttal

The lady writes:

Hello Punternet, this is Mistress Roxie responding to the rather negative report posted on 4th October. Firstly I can say unreservedly that this report is deliberately malicious, being fictitious in parts as well. I never saw ANYONE in session on Wed 29th September as I didn't even work that particular day or that week. I keep a diary of all My sessions and in fact I didn't see anyone in My chamber for the entire month of September due to My going on holiday for a fortnight and being occupied with other things for the last part of the month when I returned ? as documented in My blog on My website.

But I know exactly what this is about; this is to do with a blogpost I wrote back in August entitled ?Fake Slaves? - inspired by the worst client I have ever had in My chamber in 4 years. He obviously knows it's about him having quoted it in anger and is using Punternet to lash out at Me by writing a falsified account. It's very telling since he visited Me nearly 3 months ago, has only just read the blogpost and had tried to make the dates fit by lying. In fact this report,(dates? aside) is an utter pack of lies throughout. It is also vague and contradictory as well. This is because the client in question is having to think back 3 months to write his report. Just for the record, I do not own a pair of sandals I always wear high stilettos and always change in to one of My many sexy outfits; another lie. I did not leave the chamber to ?cook dinner? - another absurd lie, I would hardly have time in the space of an hour and never would anyway as I'm far too professional, just another thing that doesn't ring true. I don't own any Ugg boots either.

My chamber is most definitely not cramped, it is finished to a high standard and spacious as everyone can see from the photos on the website, and there are new pics of it and Myself coming soon. As for stating that I failed to include certain things, that's another barefaced lie. I include everything politely requested in sessions otherwise there wouldn't be much point. Besides I've got all the equipment so why wouldn't I use it? None of it makes sense. Plus I own more than 2 pieces of BDSM apparatus. But that was the problem here, the client wasn't polite, he had a vile bullying persona and was not submissive at all. We did not get on because of his bad attitude. He was bolshy and domineering and having read My blogpost he doesn't like what I've written and is out for revenge. He has also lied about it being his second visit. Rubbish, he has only been once trust Me I would remember, and he won't be coming again that's for sure. He's just trying to make his lies seem genuine. What an idiot, he gives guys a bad name and I'm now going to alert other Dominas to what he's done. As for saying ?the warning is in the literature on My site? no the warning is in your contradictory misspelt ramblings not to take anything you state seriously. Especially when you try and disparage Me, yet still strangely recommend Me and say you would return. If anyone's pathetic it's YOU!