

## Review of Charlie of Milton Keynes

**Review No. 121035 - Published 25 Sep 2015**

### Details of Visit:

**Author:** chessgrandmaster

**Type of Visit:** Incall

**Date and Time of Visit:** Sun 20 Sep 2015 13:30

**Duration of Visit:** 30 Minutes

**Amount Paid:** 60

**Recommended:** Yes

### Details of Service Provider:

**Profile Name:** Annabellas MK

**Website:** <http://www.annabellasescorts.com/home.php>

**Phone:** 01908234646

**Phone:** 01908711821

### The Premises:

Typical Annabella's apartment – the smaller flat. I prefer the house further down the street, but the facilities are more than adequate. Upon arrival, all the rooms were in use, so I had to wait in the humid bathroom, though this was not too much of an inconvenience.

### The Lady:

Charlie is a busty, homely East-Yorkshire girl, who clearly recognises that she has a delightful physique. Physically, I could not fault anything and it was apparent that she is an affable, ebullient person. I think that I had the misfortune of meeting her on a day, when she was obviously fatigued and as she freely admitted, not her "bubbly" self.

### The Story:

I'm attributing a positive recommendation to this encounter. Yet, I do this with some reservations. Charlie's evident potentiality to become a true legend is undisputable and her positive feedback to date is only a acclamation of this. I'm making many allowances in writing this and I'm hoping that the blemishes I'm pointing out here were an accumulation of circumstances and a direct consequence of her poor mood on the day.

Interestingly, I would not describe my time with Charlie as a "GFE", though the irony is that despite it not being frantic, "sensual" in the true sense of the meaning, it was paradoxically, an encounter, which you would easily experience with a girl, you have been with for a number of times and there is no uneasiness in the proceedings.

Charlie was at no point "distant" and was more than amenable to anything. There was no true "fluidness" from one motion to another and this only increased the mechanics of the actions.

Charlie was busy during the day and I pitched up early afternoon. I do not believe she had had a break and her popularity is only an illustration of her capabilities and attractiveness. Although I can appreciate this, Charlie did not seem fully engaged and her actions, although not perfunctory, were deficient in energy and authentic enthusiasm. She did yawn several times and plead tiredness. This was more prevalent once 10 minutes were left and she offered a massage to conclude matters.

This turned out only to be a slight scratching with her nails up and down my left leg. I did not have the heart to push her for anything further, as she was by then distracted and only wanting to “have a break, go for a quick cigarette and then onto the next appointment” – her words.

I can perceive that Charlie's oral skills are excellent. There was too much hand involvement for me and despite me attempting to discourage this, this did persist for some time. This was more my fault than hers, as she was seemingly expert in this pursuit. There was some interesting usage of spittle, which did add an intriguing feature. Charlie also has an individual method in which she has a way with which she uses her legs to angle you into her, creating an embracing cradle and she is supportive with her hands, all of which, were personalised and an extra stimulus.

The one notable thing of this encounter was when switching from doggy-style to missionary. In the midst of pleasure, I requested Charlie to turn over, not unpleasantly and not harshly, but admittedly, without the suitable polite interrogative. Charlie duly obliged although this was done with the sharp retort “please”. This hypocritical rebuke was even more startling, not only for its unwarranted timing, but in comparison to the many imperatives I had received – “suck on them only gently”, “open your legs further”, “only lick at the top”, “no fingers” etc.

I urge the reader not to take the incorrect impression from this. I honestly see how Charlie is a professional, who is clearly enjoyed and liked. I am confident that I saw her on a “bad day”. I enjoyed myself and was not unduly annoyed or walk away thinking it a waste of money. I simply think that we did not really “click”. I made an attempt to engage her with conversation afterwards and although moderately successful, it was clearly not working for her. She was perfectly pleasant during the encounter apart from described above.

I am a meek-spoken individual and do not have a propensity for harsh conduct or words. I find a certain assertiveness with Charlie, which I am sure, that a gentleman with a more dominating and oppressive aptitude, may relish the challenge of aspiring to tame...