

PunterNet UK

Review of Crystal of London

Review No. 126015 - Published 23 Mar 2018

Details of Visit:

Author: Medium Bang Theory

Location 2: South Kensington

Type of Visit: Incall

Date and Time of Visit: Sat 17 Mar 2018 20:00

Duration of Visit: 60 Minutes

Amount Paid: 150

Recommended: No

Details of Service Provider:

Phone: 07522742472

The Premises:

Very handy for South Kensington station, but a very cramped studio flat with a mood-killing kitchenette complete with a washing machine literally at the foot of the bed! Face the other way, concentrate on the girl, and it's not too bad.....

The Lady:

A no-brainer, Crystal is quite gorgeous – her gallery is more accurate than the vast majority on AdultWork. She's tall and slim for a Thai and genuinely young, although I believe 26 rather than the advertised 22. She was wearing a rather non-descript jersey dress when I arrived, but underneath she had some alluring red underwear.

The Story:

Despite me confirming my arrival in the area 30 minutes before the appointment and arriving exactly on time, Crystal disappeared for a good while to stash the cash and "get ready". She'd suggested that I get undressed, which I'd rather we do together, but no big deal.

When she came back she looked exactly the same, so I'm not sure why I had some of my valuable time wasted. Anyway..... it was all a bit awkward and nervous to start – totally at odds with her "image" and as if she'd never done this before. A bit of a cuddle and the ominous "so big!" bullshit aimed at my still fully-clothed barely-aroused cock (always a negative omen!), but no kissing whatsoever.

Crystal offered the ubiquitous massage, but I politely declined as I don't find Thai massages at all erotic – although they can be enjoyable to fill out the hour if I pop earlier than planned. However, I do enjoy giving one as it gives me a chance to explore and relax us both. Most girls absolutely love this, but I think it put Crystal out of her comfort zone?

It was enjoyable to start as she does have a sensational body but, as I moved my attention downwards towards her belly, she suddenly came over all girlishly giggly and said she was "too sensitive". Her pussy and butt were completely off limits and she refused reverse oral or 69 outright.

Nothing for it but to let her give me OWO (which was actually very good once she got going) and

then fuck. Even with the lube, this took some doing as she was obviously not the slightest bit aroused - of course, this did mean that she felt very tight! Crystal seemed to get naturally very wet after a few minutes and I came uncharacteristically quickly once I got fully into her - she clearly hated our intimacy and I just wanted it over with.

There was plenty of time left and Crystal is a really pleasant girl to converse with but, ultimately, it was a hugely disappointing punt - the more so because I'd found her incredibly attractive

The advertised kissing, I can take or leave, but Crystal's refusal to accept reverse oral and 69 was totally unacceptable as these are absolutely fundamental services for me.

I had to leave "feedback only" as she still hadn't accepted the AW booking the following day, and I believe it was balanced and fair. But then two days later she (or more likely her "sponsor") miraculously remembered to confirm so that she/he could then retaliate with some very spiteful and deceitful feedback for me.

I've now noticed that her following feedback on 20th March is very highly suspicious -I would guess it was hastily contrived in order to negate my comments?

Had it not been for that, it would have been water under the bridge for both of us, but clearly there is a lack of honesty and business sense here, hence this report.

One of my major irritations with AW is that they allow escorts to confirm the booking took place long after you've left your feedback – they should accept it as soon as you arrive, as they would have to do with an agency. This practice is grossly unfair and means that anyone who fairly and honestly criticises a girl, but cannot leave a negative rating, is then open to retaliatory insults and lies.