

# PunterNet UK

## Review of Gayanna of London

**Review No. 128187 - Published 17 May 2019**

### Details of Visit:

**Author:** montague1812

**Type of Visit:** Incall

**Date and Time of Visit:** Wed 13 Mar 2019 18:00

**Duration of Visit:** 1 Hours

**Amount Paid:** 350

**Recommended:** Neutral

### Details of Service Provider:

**Profile Name:** Top Secret London - The Best Girls Here!

**Website:** <https://topsecretescorts.co.uk/london-escort>

**Phone:** 07900072555

### The Premises:

The place is not well signposted but easy enough to find. It is an 8th floor apartment in a secure block. Certainly all you need for this type of visit.

### The Lady:

Gayanna is elegant, tanned with a beautiful body although a little fake in places. If i'm going to be picky perhaps a little too much make up and sun tan? She is probably 29-30 ish. She is better than some of the photos in which she has blonde hair.

### The Story:

Sorry, can't remember the exact date in mid March.

When i walk in to see a girl, the very best way to be greeted is with a lovely deep kiss. What i got was a peck on the cheek. Gayanna clearly doesn't like DFK or use of tongues. That was evident throughout. However she does see it as her duty to ensure you are satisfied. This started with her kneeling on a cushion on the floor while i was seated on the edge of the bed. She will go on forever with OWO. She has incredibly beautiful breasts but is always saying they are sensitive. I have read elsewhere that she complains if you are too big, that is rubbish in my case but she said it! Not too keen on penetration makes me believe she is in the wrong job. However, she was very friendly and smiley throughout but everything is a little superficial. She is a lovely lady but it just didn't seem natural.

Lastly, her English is dreadful and you sometimes have to use a translation app on her phone. Her smiley nature lessens the communication frustrations.

Would i revisit - no, because the several disappointments listed above make the exorbitant cost excessive and not value for money.