PunterNet UK

Review of Cindy of Milton Keynes

Review No. 132331 - Published 16 Dec 2023

Details of Visit:

Author: Globetrotter21

Type of Visit: Incall

Date and Time of Visit: Mon 11 Dec 2023

Duration of Visit: 30 Minutes

Amount Paid: 55 Recommended: No

Details of Service Provider:

Profile Name: MKE Finest

Website: http://www.miltonkeynesescorts.com

Phone: 01908696559

The Premises:

New place in Bletchley. Very nice. Upstairs rooms are ensuite

The Lady:

Pictures are accurate. Very large breasts.

The Story:

It was a walk-in with a 'voucher'. Cindy was free so I thought I would give her a try. She came into the room and gave me a quick peck on the cheek. She put her stuff down and came back to me. I commented favourably on her breasts and she rolled down her top to give me access. After some fondling from the front and behind she went over to the bed, stripped off and waited. I was left to take off my own cloths and join her. Some further playing with her breasts and nipple sucking, I tried to kiss her but she was not enthusiastic, at best 'light' kissing. She was laying on her back and she did allow me to briefly play with her pussy while she gave the old man a few tugs. She picked a condom out of her bag and 'bagged me up'. No option for OWO offered. A quick suck and some hand work and she was clearly wanting to move on to the next phase. This was about 5 minutes in. I was hoping for rather more foreplay but this was not to be. Lubed up I tried to make the best of it. She did offer several positions and she made appreciative noises but I was not 'fired' up by the session so it required some wanking by me between positions and hand job by her before I was able to finish in mish. She offered a massage which I accepted and after that there was still 10 minutes left for a shower and to get dressed. It might be that she does not get turned on by older guys but the encounter that I had does not sit well with the apparently extensive list of services listed. I must admit that I did not actually ask for OWO but it did not seem to be an option at the time!