

# PunterNet UK

## Review of Pams Party of London

**Review No. 88223 - Published 12 Feb 2009**

### Details of Visit:

**Author:** ricardo007

**Type of Visit:** Incall

**Date and Time of Visit:** Tue 10 Feb 2009 7pm

**Duration of Visit:** 1 Hour

**Amount Paid:** 75

**Recommended:** No

### Details of Service Provider:

**Company:** Pam's Parties

**Website:** <http://www.pamsparties.co.uk>

**Phone:** 07780516647

### The Premises:

Very nice house in West London with four bedrooms and two bathrooms, there was also a living room where you can relax

### The Lady:

Three girls working tonight Gaia who was from Mexico but was hardly interested in providing a decent service. She went straight to my dick (who was still asleep) and slipped a condom on while it was still soft! Oral with a condom was very disappointing. She was supposed to provide a wonderful service instead she provided a full wife experience, went on and on complaining that she was tired, probably forgot that i was paying for her time, no touching allowed, no kissing, no playing with her pussy, no 69, cold as a fish during sex. Very disappointing

The other girls available were Karina and Jackie however they were chatting away to each other and did not appeal to me and I had lost interest after the experience with Gaia.

### The Story:

First impressions the girls looked nothing like on the website - photoshop did wonders for some of the girls available tonight!!! The ladies weren't up to scratch in my opinion and the service offered was not worth the money

I have to say that I have read many rave reports about parties and this was my first visit to one. As with most things in life you pay for what you get ?75 for four hours seemed reasonable however it was a big let down and disappointment. If you pay peanuts expect monkeys is an expression that springs to mind with Pam Partys.

I normally don't write any reviews but just had to comment with the credit crunch - this was a big disappointment. Guys avoid this place like the plague