PunterNet UK

Review of Priyanka of London

Review No. 95444 - Published 17 Jan 2010

Details of Visit:

Author: KamX Type of Visit: Incall

Date and Time of Visit: Sat 7 Mar 2009 13:00

Duration of Visit: 20 **Amount Paid:** 60 **Recommended:** Yes

Details of Service Provider:

Profile Name: Indian Palace

Website: http://www.indian-palace.com/

Phone: 02070001908

The Premises:

As per other FR's - clean.

The Lady:

Very slim, darker in person. Not much of a chest but she is cutish...

The Story:

As soon as saw Priyanki opted for the 20 min sex only, did originally book 1hr but thought didnt want to waste my money.

Very very hyginic and wouldnt even touch my dick without the condom being on... How someone is meant to put a condom on while a dick is still limp is beyond me..

Really disappointed in IP and to date my favourites have been Nadia & Ashwariya.

Service Provider's Rebuttal

The establishment writes:

Hello KamX, we noticed that you wrote three reports in one day. And in all of them you recommended the person you saw, but would not return. And you had something bad to say about everyone on the same day although you did not visit everyone on the same day and visited two people months and months earlier! It's a bit strange!

At the time of Indian Palace writing this rebuttal:

In this report with Priyanka, you say the date of your visit was Saturday the 7th of March 2009. You have decided to write your report on the 17th of January 2010, which is 11 months later! Separate from Indian Palace, you also say you have visited another English lady at another establishment, that you wrote a bad report about on Monday the 23rd of March. But have then decided to write the bad report 11 months later!

Also, in Sunita's bad report on Indian Palace you say the time of your visit was Saturday the 16th of

January 2010 at nearly 8pm in the evening. Sunita usually does Wednesday evenings from 5pm till 11pm and only covered Saturday the 2nd and the 9th of January from 11am till 5pm. She cannot stay late whenever she might cover a Saturday due to other commitments, so the times on the report you did on Sunita do not add up.

People can see from Priyanka's other earlier report that she was unintentionally making silly mistakes during a service. This was because she had never been an escort in her life and Indian Palace was the first place she started at. Priyanka does not make silly mistakes like that any more as she has had experience now.

Two of the reports you have said that the ladies look ten years older and in the other one you say that Priyanka is 'darker in person'. We would like to explain this but would have thought that we did not need to.

The pictures on our site are all genuine pics of all the ladies. Asian skin has so many different tones to it. As the pictures have all been taken with a good quality camera and with studio lighting, the lady will obviously appear a tiny bit darker when you go and see her as she is not in a studio with lighting in it. She is in a room with soft bedside lighting in it. The skin colour of the ladies on our site have not been lightened. They are as they are when they have been taken.

KamX, back in March 2009 last year, you did not have to see Priyanka. You could have seen someone else at Indian Palace.

By the way, back then in March 2009 when Priyanka first started with Indian Palace, she did not wear much make up or have a range of sexy clothes. She does now!

We are disappointed to read that you are disappointed in us.

As everyone can see, we have a range of different genuine Indian ladies to suit everyone's tastes. We know everyone can have their say, but we are wondering if you were in a bad mood on the 17th of January?

Our sincere apologies if you are genuine, but we find the dates of your actual visit and the time you have chosen to write reports quite strange.

Also, if a person waits nearly a year to write a report on a lady, it's not a true reflection of the way the lady might be giving her service today. A person can get better and writing a bad report a year after is not fair.

Indian Palace